TITLE:

HOPF ALGEBRAS AND POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES (Quantum groups and quantum topology)

AUTHOR(S):

KASSEL, CHRISTIAN

CITATION:

KASSEL, CHRISTIAN. HOPF ALGEBRAS AND POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES (Quantum groups and quantum topology). 数理解析研究所講究録 2010, 1714: 49-62

ISSUE DATE:

2010-09

URL:

http://hdl.handle.net/2433/170283

RIGHT:

京都大学学術情報リポジトリ
KURENAI 紅
Kyoto University Research Information Repository

数理解析研究所講究録
第 1714 巻 2010 年 49-62

HOPF ALGEBRAS AND POLYNOMIAL IDENTITIES

CHRISTIAN KASSEL

ABSTRACT. This is a survey of results obtained jointly with E. Aljadeff and published in [2]. We explain how to set up a theory of polynomial identities for comodule algebras over a Hopf algebra, and concentrate on the universal comodule algebra constructed from the identities satisfied by a given comodule algebra. All concepts are illustrated with various examples.

KEY WORDS: Polynomial identity, Hopf algebra, comodule, localization

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2010): 16R50, 16T05, 16T15, 16T20, 16S40, 16S85

INTRODUCTION

As has been stressed many times (see, e.g., [19]), Hopf Galois extensions can be viewed as non-commutative analogues of principal fiber bundles (also known as G-torsors), where the role of the structural group is played by a Hopf algebra. Such extensions abound in the world of quantum groups and of non-commutative geometry. The problem of constructing systematically all Hopf Galois extensions of a given algebra for a given Hopf algebra and of classifying them up to isomorphism has been addressed in a number of papers, such as [4, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18] to quote but a few.

A new approach to the classification problem of Hopf Galois extensions was recently advanced by Eli Aljadeff and the present author in [2]; this approach uses classical techniques from non-commutative algebra such as *polynomial identities* (such techniques had previously been used in [1] for group-graded algebras). In [2] we developed a theory of identities for any comodule algebra over a given Hopf algebra H, hence for any Hopf Galois extension. As a result, out of the identities for an H-comodule algebra A, we obtained a *universal H-comodule algebra* $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$. It turns out that if A is a cleft H-Galois object (i.e., a comodule algebra obtained from H by twisting its product with the help of a two-cocycle) with trivial center, then a suitable central localization of $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$ is an H-Galois extension of its center. We thus obtain a "non-commutative principal fiber bundle" whose base space is the spectrum of some localization of the center of $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$.

This survey is organized as follows. After a preliminary section on comodule algebras, we define the concept of an H-identity for such algebras in § 2. We illustrate this concept with a few examples and we attach a universal H-comodule algebra $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$ to each H-comodule algebra A.

In § 3 turning to the special case where $A = {}^\alpha H$ is a twisted comodule algebra, we exhibit a universal comodule algebra map that allows us to detect the H-identities for A.

In § 4 we construct a commutative domain $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ and we state that under some natural extra condition, $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ is the center of a suitable central localization of $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$; moreover after localization, $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$ becomes a free module over its center.

Lastly in § 5, we illustrate all previous constructions with the help of the four-dimensional Sweedler algebra, thus giving complete answers in this simple, but non-trivial example. We end the paper with an open question on Taft algebras.

The material of the present text is mainly taken from [2], for which it provides an easy access. The reader is advised to complement it with [10, 11].

1. Hopf algebras and coactions

1.1. **Standing assumption.** We fix a field k over which all our constructions are defined. In particular, all linear maps are supposed to be k-linear and unadorned tensor products mean tensor products over k. Throughout the survey we assume that the ground field k is *infinite.*

By algebra we always mean an associative unital k-algebra. We suppose the reader familiar with the language of Hopf algebra, as expounded for instance in [20]. As is customary, we denote the coproduct of a Hopf algebra by Δ, its counit by ε, and its antipode by S. We also make use of a Heyneman-Sweedler-type notation for the image

$$\Delta(x) = x_1 \otimes x_2$$

of an element x of a Hopf algebra H under the coproduct, and we write

$$\Delta^{(2)}(x) = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_3$$

for the iterated coproduct $\Delta^{(2)} = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_H) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id}_H \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$, and so on.

1.2. **Comodule algebras.** Let H be a Hopf algebra. Recall that an H-*comodule algebra* is an algebra A equipped with a right H-comodule structure whose (coassociative, counital) *coaction*

$$\delta : A \to A \otimes H$$

is an algebra map. The subalgebra A^H of *coinvariants* of an H-comodule algebra A is defined by

$$A^H = \{a \in A \,|\, \delta(a) = a \otimes 1\}\,.$$

Given two H-comodule algebras A and A' with respective coactions δ and δ', an algebra map $f : A \to A'$ is an H*-comodule algebra map* if

$$\delta' \circ f = (f \otimes \mathrm{id}_H) \circ \delta\,.$$

We denote by Alg^H the category whose objects are H-comodule algebras and arrows are H-comodule algebra maps.

Let us give a few examples of comodule algebras.

Example 1.1. If $H = k$, then an H-comodule algebra is nothing but an ordinary (associative, unital) algebra.

Example 1.2. The algebra $H = k[G]$ of a *group* G is a Hopf algebra with coproduct, counit, and antipode given for all $g \in G$ by

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g\,, \quad \varepsilon(g) = 1\,, \quad S(g) = g^{-1}\,.$$

It is well-known (see [5, Lemma 4.8]) that an H-comodule algebra A is the same as a *G-graded algebra*

$$A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g\,, \qquad A_g\, A_h \subset A_{gh}\,.$$

The coaction $\delta : A \to A \otimes H$ is given by $\delta(a) = a \otimes g$ for all $a \in A_g$ and $g \in G$. We have $A^H = A_e$, where e is the neutral element of G.

Example 1.3. Let G be a *finite group* and $H = k^G$ be the algebra of k-valued functions on a finite group G. This algebra can be equipped with a Hopf algebra structure that is dual to the Hopf algebra $k[G]$ above. An H-comodule algebra A is the same as a *G-algebra*, i.e., an algebra equipped with a left action of G on A by group automorphisms.

If we denote the action of $g \in G$ on $a \in A$ by ${}^g a$, then the coaction $\delta : A \to A \otimes H$ is given by

$$\delta(a) = \sum_{g \in G} {}^g a \otimes e_g\,,$$

where $\{e_g\}_{g \in G}$ is the basis of H consisting of the functions e_g defined by $e_g(h) = 1$ if $h = g$, and 0 otherwise.

The subalgebra of coinvariants of A coincides with the subalgebra of G-invariant elements: $A^H = A^G$.

Example 1.4. Any Hopf algebra H is an H-comodule algebra whose coaction coincides with the coproduct of H:

$$\delta = \Delta : H \to H \otimes H\,.$$

In this case the coinvariants of H are exactly the scalar multiples of the unit of H; in other words, $H^H = k\,1$.

2. Identities

2.1. **Polynomial identities.** Let A be an algebra. A *polynomial identity* for an algebra A is a polynomial $P(X, Y, Z, \ldots)$ in a finite number of noncommutative variables $X, Y, Z, \ldots$ such that

$$P(x, y, z, \ldots) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z, \ldots \in A$.

Examples 2.1. (a) The polynomial $XY - YX$ is a polynomial identity for any *commutative algebra*.

(b) If $A = M_2(k)$ is the *algebra of* 2×2-*matrices* with entries in k, then

$$(XY - YX)^2 Z - Z(XY - YX)^2$$

is a polynomial identity for A. (Use the Cayley-Hamilton theorem to check this.)

The concept of a polynomial identity first emerged in the 1920's in an article [6] on the foundation of projective geometry by Max Dehn, the topologist. The above polynomial identity for the algebra of 2×2-matrices appeared in 1937 in [22]. Today there is an abundant literature on polynomial identities; see for instance [8, 17].

For algebras graded by a group G there exists the concept of a graded polynomial identity (see [1, 3]). In this case we need to take a family of non-commutative variables $X_g, Y_g, Z_g, \ldots$ for each element $g \in G$. Given a G-graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$, a *graded polynomial identity* is a polynomial P in these indexed variables such that P vanishes upon any substitution of each variable X_g appearing in P by an element of the g-component A_g.

In general, we should keep in mind that in order to define polynomial identities for a class of algebras, we need to single out

(i) a suitable algebra of non-commutative polynomials and
(ii) a suitable notion of specialization for these polynomials.

The algebras of interest to us in this survey are comodule algebras over a Hopf algebra H. The non-commutative variables we wish to use will be indexed by the elements of some linear basis of H. Since in general a Hopf algebra does not have a natural basis, we find it preferable to use a more canonical construction, namely the tensor algebra over H, and to resort to a given basis only when we need to perform computations.

2.2. **Definition and examples of H-identities.** Let H be a Hopf algebra. We pick a copy X_H of the underlying vector space of H and we denote the identity map from H to X_H by $x \mapsto X_x$ for all $x \in H$.

Consider the *tensor algebra* $T(X_H)$ of the vector space X_H over the ground field k:

$$T(X_H) = \bigoplus_{r\geq 0} T^r(X_H)\,,$$

where $T^r(X_H) = X_H^{\otimes r}$ is the tensor product of r copies of X_H over k, with the convention $T^0(X_H) = k$. If $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$ is some linear basis of H, then $T(X_H)$ is isomorphic to the algebra of non-commutative polynomials in the indeterminates X_{x_i} $(i \in I)$.

Beware that the product $X_x X_y$ of symbols in the tensor algebra is different from the symbol X_{xy} attached to the product of x and y in H; the former is of degree 2 while the latter is of degree 1.

The algebra $T(X_H)$ is an *H-comodule algebra* equipped with the coaction

$$\delta : T(X_H) \to T(X_H) \otimes H\ ;\ \ X_x \mapsto X_{x_1} \otimes x_2\,.$$

Note that $T(X_H)$ is *graded* with all generators X_x in degree 1. The coaction preserves the grading, where $T(X_H) \otimes H$ is graded by

$$(T(X_H) \otimes H)_r = T^r(X_H) \otimes H$$

for all $r \geq 0$.

We now give the main definition of this section.

Definition 2.2. *Let A be an H-comodule algebra. An element $P \in T(X_H)$ is an H-identity for A if $\mu(P) = 0$ for all H-comodule algebra maps*

$$\mu : T(X_H) \to A\,.$$

To convey the feeling of what an H-identity is, let us give some simple examples.

Example 2.3. Let $H = k$ be the one-dimension Hopf algebra as in Example 1.1. An H-comodule algebra A is then the same as an algebra. In this case, $T(X_H)$ coincides with the polynomial algebra $k[X_1]$ and an H-comodule algebra map is nothing but an algebra map. Therefore, an element $P(X_1) \in T(X_H) = k[X_1]$ is an H-identity for A if and only if all $P(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Since k is assumed to be infinite, it follows that there are no non-zero H-identities for A.

Example 2.4. Let $H = k[G]$ be a group Hopf algebra as in Example 1.2. We know that an H-comodule algebra is a G-graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$. Since $\{g\}_{g\in G}$ is a basis of H, the tensor algebra $T(X_H)$ is the algebra of non-commutative polynomials in the indeterminates X_g ($g \in G$).

It is easy to check that an algebra map $\mu : T(X_H) \to A$ is an H-comodule algebra map if and only if $\mu(X_g) \in A_g$ for all $g \in G$. This remark allows us to produce the following examples of H-identities.

(a) Suppose that A is *trivially graded*, i.e., $A_g = 0$ for all $g \neq e$. Then any non-commutative polynomial in the indeterminates X_g with $g \neq e$ is killed by any H-comodule algebra map $\mu : T(X_H) \to A$. Therefore, such a polynomial is an H-identity for A.

(b) Suppose that the trivial component A_e is *central* in A. We claim that
$$X_g X_{g^{-1}} X_h - X_h X_g X_{g^{-1}}$$
is an H-identity for A for all $g, h \in G$. Indeed, for any H-comodule algebra map $\mu : T(X_H) \to A$, we have
$$\mu(X_g) \in A_g \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(X_{g^{-1}}) \in A_{g^{-1}}\,;$$
therefore, $\mu(X_g X_{g^{-1}}) = \mu(X_g)\,\mu(X_{g^{-1}})$ belongs to A_e, hence commutes with $\mu(X_h)$. One shows in a similar fashion that if g is an element of G of finite order N, then for all $h \in G$,
$$X_g^N X_h - X_h X_g^N$$
is an H-identity for A.

Example 2.5. Let H be an arbitrary Hopf algebra, and let A be an H-comodule algebra such that the subalgebra A^H of coinvariants is central in A (the twisted comodule algebras of § 3.1 satisfy the latter condition).

For $x, y \in H$ consider the following elements of $T(X_H)$:
$$P_x = X_{x_1}\, X_{S(x_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{x,y} = X_{x_1}\, X_{y_1}\, X_{S(x_2 y_2)}\,.$$
Then for all $x, y, z \in H$,
$$P_x\, X_z - X_z\, P_x \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{x,y}\, X_z - X_z\, Q_{x,y}$$
are H-identities for A. Indeed, P_x and $Q_{x,y}$ are coinvariant elements of $T(X_H)$; see [2, Lemma 2.1]. It follows that for any H-comodule algebra map $\mu : T(X_H) \to A$, the elements $\mu(P_x)$ and $\mu(Q_{x,y})$ are coinvariant, hence central, in A.

More sophisticated examples of H-identities will be given in § 5.

2.3. **The ideal of H-identities.** Let H be a Hopf algebra and A an H-comodule algebra. Denote the set of all H-identities for A by $I_H(A)$. By definition,

$$I_H(A) = \bigcap_{\mu \in \mathrm{Alg}^H(T(X_H),A)} \operatorname{Ker} \mu .$$

A proof of the following assertions can be found in [2, Prop. 2.2].

Proposition 2.6. *The set $I_H(A)$ has the following properties:*

(a) it is a graded ideal of $T(X_H)$, i.e.,

$$I_H(A)\, T(X_H) \subset I_H(A) \supset T(X_H)\, I_H(A)$$

and

$$I_H(A) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \Big(I_H(A) \bigcap T^r(X_H) \Big) ;$$

(b) it is a right H-coideal of $T(X_H)$, i.e.,

$$\delta\big(I_H(A)\big) \subset I_H(A) \otimes H .$$

Note that for any H-comodule algebra map $\mu : T(X_H) \to A$, we have $\mu(1) = 1$; therefore, the degree 0 component of $I_H(A)$ is always trivial:

$$I_H(A) \bigcap T^0(X_H) = 0 .$$

If, in addition, there exists an injective H-comodule map $H \to A$, then the degree 1 component of $I_H(A)$ is also trivial:

$$I_H(A) \bigcap T^1(X_H) = 0 .$$

Remark 2.7. Right from the beginning we required the ground field k to be infinite. This assumption is used for instance to establish that $I_H(A)$ is a graded ideal of $T(X_H)$. Let us give a proof of this fact in order to show how the assumption is used. Indeed, expand $P \in I_H(A)$ as

$$P = \sum_{r \geq 0} P_r$$

with $P_r \in T^r(X_H)$ for all $r \geq 0$. To prove that $I_H(A)$ is a graded ideal, it suffices to check that each P_r is in $I_H(A)$. Given a scalar $\lambda \in k$, consider the algebra endomorphism λ_* of $T(X_H)$ defined by $\lambda(X_x) = \lambda X_x$ for all $x \in H$; clearly, λ_* is an H-comodule map. If $\mu : T(X_H) \to A$ is an H-comodule algebra map, then so is $\mu \circ \lambda_*$. Since $P \in I_H(A)$, we have

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \lambda^r \mu(P_r) = (\mu \circ \lambda_*)(P) = 0 .$$

The A-valued polynomial $\sum_{r \geq 0} \lambda^r \mu(P_r)$ takes zero values for all $\lambda \in k$. By the assumption on k, this implies that its coefficients are all zero, i.e., $\mu(P_r) = 0$ for all $r \geq 0$. Since this holds for all $\mu \in \mathrm{Alg}^H(T(X_H), A)$, we obtain $P_r \in I_H(A)$ for all $r \geq 0$.

If the ground field is *finite*, then Definition 2.2 still makes sense, but the ideal $I_H(A)$ may no longer be graded. Indeed, let k be the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $H = k$. Then for $q = p^N$, the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is an H-comodule algebra. In view of Example 2.3, the polynomial $X_1^q - X_1$ is an H-identity for $\mathbb{F}_q$, but clearly the homogeneous summands in this polynomial, namely X_1^q and X_1, are not H-identities.

2.4. The universal H-comodule algebra. Let A be an H-comodule algebra and $I_H(A)$ the ideal of H-identities for A defined above. Since $I_H(A)$ is a graded ideal of $T(X_H)$, we may consider the quotient algebra

$$\mathcal{U}_H(A) = T(X_H)/I_H(A)\,.$$

The grading on $T(X_H)$ induces a grading on $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$. As $I_H(A)$ is a right H-coideal of $T(X_H)$, the quotient algebra $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$ carries an H-comodule algebra structure inherited from $T(X_H)$.

By definition of $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$, all H-identities for A vanish in $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$. For this reason we call $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$ the *universal H-comodule algebra attached to A*.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$ has two interesting subalgebras:

(i) The subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_H(A)^H$ of *coinvariants* of $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$.

(ii) The *center* $\mathcal{Z}_H(A)$ of $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$.

We now raise the following question. Suppose that the comodule algebra A is free as a module over the subalgebra of coinvariants A^H (or over its center); is $\mathcal{U}_H(A)$, or rather some suitable central localization of it, then free as a module over some localization of $\mathcal{U}_H(A)^H$ (or of $\mathcal{Z}_H(A)$)? An answer to this question will be given below (see Theorem 4.5) for a special class of comodule algebras, which we introduce in the next section.

3. Detecting H-identities

Fix a Hopf algebra H. We now define a special class of H-comodule algebras for which we can detect all H-identities.

3.1. Twisted comodule algebras. Recall that a *two-cocycle* α on H is a bilinear form $\alpha : H \times H \to k$ such that

$$\alpha(x_1, y_1)\, \alpha(x_2y_2, z) = \alpha(y_1, z_1)\, \alpha(x, y_2z_2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in H$. We assume that α is convolution-invertible and write α^{-1} for its inverse. For simplicity, we also assume that α is normalized, i.e.,

$$\alpha(x, 1) = \alpha(1, x) = \varepsilon(x)$$

for all $x \in H$.

Any Hopf algebra possesses at least one normalized convolution-invertible two-cocycle, namely the *trivial* two-cocycle α_0, which is defined by

$$\alpha_0(x, y) = \varepsilon(x)\, \varepsilon(y)$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

Let u_H be a copy of the underlying vector space of H. Denote the identity map from H to u_H by $x \mapsto u_x$ $(x \in H)$. We define the *twisted algebra* ${}^{\alpha}H$ as the vector space u_H equipped with the associative product given by

$$u_x\, u_y = \alpha(x_1, y_1)\, u_{x_2y_2}$$

for all x, $y \in H$. This product is associative because of the above cocycle condition; the two-cocycle α being normalized, u_1 is the unit of ${}^{\alpha}H$.

The algebra ${}^{\alpha}H$ is an H-comodule algebra with coaction $\delta\colon {}^{\alpha}H \to {}^{\alpha}H \otimes H$ given for all $x \in H$ by

$$\delta(u_x) = u_{x_1} \otimes x_2\,.$$

It is easy to check that the subalgebra of coinvariants of ${}^{\alpha}H$ coincides with $k\, u_1$, which lies in the center of ${}^{\alpha}H$.

Note that if $\alpha = \alpha_0$ is the trivial two-cocycle, then ${}^{\alpha}H = H$ is the H-comodule algebra of Example 1.4.

The twisted comodule algebras of the form ${}^{\alpha}H$ coincide with the so-called *cleft H-Galois objects*; see [16, Prop. 7.2.3]. It is therefore an important class of comodule algebras. We next show how we can detect H-identities for such comodule algebras.

3.2. **The universal comodule algebra map.** We pick a third copy t_H of the underlying vector space of H and denote the identity map from H to t_H by $x \mapsto t_x$ ($x \in H$). Let $S(t_H)$ be the *symmetric algebra* over the vector space t_H. If $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a linear basis of H, then $S(t_H)$ is isomorphic to the (commutative) algebra of polynomials in the indeterminates t_{x_i} ($i \in I$).

We consider the algebra $S(t_H) \otimes {}^{\alpha}H$. As a k-algebra, it is generated by the symbols $t_z u_x$ ($x, z \in H$) (we drop the tensor product sign $\otimes$ between the t-symbols and the u-symbols).

The algebra $S(t_H) \otimes {}^{\alpha}H$ is an H-comodule algebra whose $S(t_H)$-linear coaction extends the coaction of ${}^{\alpha}H$:

$$\delta(t_z u_x) = t_z u_{x_1} \otimes x_2 .$$

Define an algebra map $\mu_\alpha : T(X_H) \to S(t_H) \otimes {}^{\alpha}H$ by

$$\mu_\alpha(X_x) = t_{x_1} u_{x_2}$$

for all $x \in H$. The map μ_α possesses the following properties (see [2, Sect. 4]).

Proposition 3.1. *(a) The map $\mu_\alpha : T(X_H) \to S(t_H) \otimes {}^{\alpha}H$ is an H-comodule algebra map.*

(b) For every H-comodule algebra map $\mu : T(X_H) \to {}^{\alpha}H$, there is a unique algebra map $\chi : S(t_H) \to k$ such that

$$\mu = (\chi \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \mu_\alpha .$$

In other words, any H-comodule algebra map $\mu : T(X_H) \to {}^{\alpha}H$ can be obtained from μ_α by specialization. For this reason we call μ_α the *universal comodule algebra map* for ${}^{\alpha}H$.

Theorem 3.2. *An element $P \in T(X_H)$ is an H-identity for ${}^{\alpha}H$ if and only if $\mu_\alpha(P) = 0$; equivalently,*

$$I_H({}^{\alpha}H) = \ker(\mu_\alpha) .$$

This result is a consequence of Proposition 3.1. It allows us to detect the H-identities for any twisted comodule algebra: it suffices to check them in the easily controllable algebra $S(t_H) \otimes {}^{\alpha}H$. In § 5 we shall show how to apply this result in an interesting example.

Let us derive some consequences of Theorem 3.2. To simplify notation, we denote the ideal of H-identities $I_H({}^{\alpha}H)$ by I_H^{α}, the universal H-comodule algebra $\mathcal{U}_H({}^{\alpha}H)$ by $\mathcal{U}_H^{\alpha}$, and the center $\mathcal{Z}_H({}^{\alpha}H)$ of $\mathcal{U}_H^{\alpha}$ by $\mathcal{Z}_H^{\alpha}$.

Corollary 3.3. *(a) The map $\mu_\alpha : T(X_H) \to S(t_H) \otimes {}^{\alpha}H$ induces an injection of comodule algebras*

$$\overline{\mu}_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_H^{\alpha} \hookrightarrow S(t_H) \otimes {}^{\alpha}H .$$

(b) An element of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ belongs to the subalgebra $(\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha)^H$ of coinvariants if and only if its image under $\overline{\mu}_\alpha$ sits in the subalgebra $S(t_H) \otimes u_1$.

We also proved that an element of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ belongs to the center $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$ if and only if its image under $\overline{\mu}_\alpha$ sits in the subalgebra $S(t_H) \otimes Z({}^\alpha H)$, where $Z({}^\alpha H)$ is the center of ${}^\alpha H$ (see [2, Prop. 8.2]). In particular, since u_1 is central in ${}^\alpha H$, it follows that all coinvariant elements of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ belong to the center $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$.

We mention another consequence: it asserts that there always exist non-zero H-identities for any non-trivial finite-dimensional twisted comodule algebra.

Corollary 3.4. *If $2 \leq \dim_k H < \infty$, then $I_H^\alpha \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $I_H^\alpha = \{0\}$. Then in view of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha = T(X_H)/I_H^\alpha$ and of Corollary 3.3, we would have an injective linear map

$$T^r(X_H) \hookrightarrow S^r(X_H) \otimes {}^\alpha H$$

for all $r \geq 0$. (Here $S^r(X_H)$ is the subspace of elements of degree r in $S(t_H)$.) Taking dimensions and setting $\dim_k H = n$, we would obtain the inequality

$$n^r \leq n \binom{r+n-1}{n-1},$$

which is impossible for large r. □

4. Localizing the universal comodule algebra

We now wish to address the question raised in § 2.4 in the case A is a twisted comodule algebra of the form ${}^\alpha H$, where H is a Hopf algebra and α is a normalized convolution-invertible two-cocycle on H.

4.1. **The generic base algebra.** Recall the symmetric algebra $S(t_H)$ introduced in § 3.2. By [2, Lemma A.1] there is a unique linear map $x \mapsto t_x^{-1}$ from H to the field of fractions $\operatorname{Frac} S(t_H)$ of $S(t_H)$ such that for all $x \in H$,

$$\sum_{(x)} t_{x_{(1)}} t_{x_{(2)}}^{-1} = \sum_{(x)} t_{x_{(1)}}^{-1} t_{x_{(2)}} = \varepsilon(x)\, 1.$$

(The algebra of fractions generated by the elements t_x and t_x^{-1} ($x \in H$) is Takeuchi's free commutative Hopf algebra on the coalgebra underlying H; see [21].)

Examples 4.1. (a) If g is a *group-like* element, i.e., $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$ and $\varepsilon(g) = 1$, then

$$t_g^{-1} = \frac{1}{t_g}.$$

(b) If x is a *skew-primitive* element, i.e., $\Delta(x) = g \otimes x + x \otimes h$ for some group-like elements g, h, then

$$t_x^{-1} = -\frac{t_x}{t_g t_h}.$$

For $x, y \in H$, define the following elements of the fraction field $\operatorname{Frac} S(t_H)$:

$$\sigma(x,y) = \sum_{(x),(y)} t_{x_{(1)}}\, t_{y_{(1)}}\, \alpha(x_{(2)}, y_{(2)})\, t^{-1}_{x_{(3)}y_{(3)}}$$

and

$$\sigma^{-1}(x,y) = \sum_{(x),(y)} t_{x_{(1)}y_{(1)}}\, \alpha^{-1}(x_{(2)}, y_{(2)})\, t^{-1}_{x_{(3)}}\, t^{-1}_{y_{(3)}},$$

where α^{-1} is the inverse of α.

The map $(x,y) \in H \times H \mapsto \sigma(x,y) \in \operatorname{Frac} S(t_H)$ is a two-cocycle with values in the fraction field $\operatorname{Frac} S(t_H)$.

Definition 4.2. *The generic base algebra is the subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ of* $\operatorname{Frac} S(t_H)$ *generated by the elements $\sigma(x,y)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(x,y)$, where x and y run over H.*

Since $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ is a subalgebra of the field $\operatorname{Frac} S(t_H)$, it is a domain and the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ cannot exceed the Krull dimension of $S(t_H)$, which is $\dim_k H$. Actually, it is proved in [11, Cor. 3.7] that if the Hopf algebra H is finite-dimensional, then the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ is exactly equal to $\dim_k H$. More properties of the generic base algebra are given in [11].

Example 4.3. If $H = k[G]$ is the Hopf algebra of a group G and $\alpha = \alpha_0$ is the trivial two-cocycle, then the generic base algebra $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ is the algebra generated by the Laurent polynomials

$$\left(\frac{t_g t_h}{t_{gh}}\right)^{\pm 1},$$

where g, h run over G. A complete computation for the (in)finite cyclic groups $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}/N$ was given in [10, Sect. 3.3].

4.2. **Non-degenerate cocycles.** We now restrict to the case when α is a *non-degenerate* two-cocycle, i.e., when the center of the twisted algebra ${}^\alpha H$ is one-dimensional. In this case, the center of ${}^\alpha H$ coincides with the subalgebra of coinvariants.

Recall the injective algebra map $\overline{\mu}_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_H^\alpha \to S(t_H) \otimes {}^\alpha H$ of Corollary 3.3. By this corollary and the subsequent comment, it follows that in the non-degenerate case the center $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$ of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ coincides with the subalgebra $(\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha)^H$ of coinvariants, and we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha = (\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha)^H = \overline{\mu}_\alpha^{-1}(S(t_H) \otimes u_1).$$

The following result connects $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$ to the generic base algebra $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ introduced in § 4.1 (see [2, Prop. 9.1]).

Proposition 4.4. *If α is a non-degenerate two-cocycle on H, then $\overline{\mu}_\alpha$ maps $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$ into $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha \otimes u_1$.*

This result allows us to view the center $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$ of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ as a subalgebra of the generic base algebra $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$. It follows from the discussion in § 4.1 that $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$ is a domain whose Krull dimension is at most $\dim_k H$.

We may now consider the $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$-algebra

$$\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha} \mathcal{U}_H^\alpha .$$

It is an H'-comodule algebra, where $H' = \mathcal{B}_H^\alpha \otimes H$.

The following answers the question raised in § 2.4.

Theorem 4.5. *If H is a Hopf algebra and α is a non-degenerate two-cocycle on H such that $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ is a localization of $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$, then $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha} \mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ is a cleft H-Galois extension of $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$. In particular, there is a comodule isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha} \mathcal{U}_H^\alpha \cong \mathcal{B}_H^\alpha \otimes H .$$

It follows that under the hypotheses of the theorem, a suitable central localization of the universal comodule algebra $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ is free of rank $\dim_k H$ as a module over its center.

5. An example: the Sweedler algebra

We assume in this section that the characteristic of k is different from 2.

5.1. **Presentation and twisted comodule algebras.** The *Sweedler algebra H_4* is the algebra generated by two elements x, y subject to the relations

$$x^2 = 1 , \quad xy + yx = 0 , \quad y^2 = 0 .$$

It is four-dimensional. As a basis of H_4, we take the set $\{1, x, y, z\}$, where $z = xy$.

The algebra H_4 carries the structure of a non-commutative, non-cocommutative Hopf algebra with coproduct, counit, and antipode given by

$$\begin{array}{rclrcl} \Delta(1) & = & 1 \otimes 1 , & \Delta(x) & = & x \otimes x , \\ \Delta(y) & = & 1 \otimes y + y \otimes x , & \Delta(z) & = & x \otimes z + z \otimes 1 , \\ \varepsilon(1) & = & \varepsilon(x) = 1 , & \varepsilon(y) & = & \varepsilon(z) = 0 , \\ S(1) & = & 1 , & S(x) & = & x , \\ S(y) & = & z , & S(z) & = & -y . \end{array}$$

The tensor algebra $T(H_4)$ is the free non-commutative algebra on the four symbols

$$E = X_1 , \quad X = X_x , \quad Y = X_y , \quad Z = X_z ,$$

whereas $S(t_{H_4})$ is the polynomial algebra on the symbols t_1, t_x, t_y, t_z.

Masuoka [13] (see also [7]) showed that any twisted H_4-comodule algebra as in § 3.1 has, up to isomorphism, the following presentation:

$${}^\alpha H_4 = k \left\langle u_x, u_y \,|\, u_x^2 = a u_1 , \ u_x u_y + u_y u_x = b u_1 , \ u_y^2 = c u_1 \right\rangle$$

for some scalars a, b, c with $a \neq 0$. To indicate the dependence on the parameters a, b, c, we denote ${}^\alpha H_4$ by $A_{a,b,c}$.

The center of $A_{a,b,c}$ consists of the scalar multiples of the unit u_1 for all values of a, b, c. In other words, all two-cocycles on H_4 are non-degenerate.

The coaction $\delta : A_{a,b,c} \to A_{a,b,c} \otimes H_4$ is determined by

$$\delta(u_x) = u_x \otimes x \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(u_y) = u_1 \otimes y + u_y \otimes x .$$

As observed in § 3.1, the coinvariants of $A_{a,b,c}$ consists of the scalar multiples of the unit u_1. Therefore, coinvariants and central elements of $A_{a,b,c}$ coincide.

5.2. **Identities.** In this situation, the universal comodule algebra map

$$\mu_\alpha : T(X_H) \to S(t_H) \otimes A_{a,b,c}$$

is given by

$$\mu_\alpha(E) = t_1 u_1\,, \qquad \mu_\alpha(X) = t_x u_x\,,$$
$$\mu_\alpha(Y) = t_1 u_y + t_y u_x\,, \qquad \mu_\alpha(Z) = t_x u_z + t_z u_1\,.$$

Let us set

$$R = X^2\,, \quad S = Y^2\,, \quad T = XY + YX\,, \quad U = X(XZ + ZX)\,.$$

Lemma 5.1. *In the algebra $S(t_H) \otimes A_{a,b,c}$ we have the following equalities:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_\alpha(R) &= a t_x^2 u_1\,,\\
\mu_\alpha(S) &= (a t_y^2 + b t_1 t_y + c t_1^2)\, u_1\,,\\
\mu_\alpha(T) &= t_x (2 a t_y + b t_1)\, u_1\,,\\
\mu_\alpha(U) &= a t_x^2 (2 t_z + b t_x)\, u_1\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from a straightforward computation. Let us compute $\mu_\alpha(S)$ as an example. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_\alpha(S) &= \mu_\alpha(Y)^2 = (t_1 u_y + t_y u_x)^2\\
&= t_y^2 u_x^2 + t_1 t_y (u_x u_y + u_y u_x) + t_1^2 u_y^2\\
&= (a t_y^2 + b t_1 t_y + c t_1^2)\, u_1
\end{aligned}$$

in view of the definition of $A_{a,b,c}$. □

We now exhibit two non-trivial H_4-identities.

Proposition 5.2. *The elements*

$$T^2 - 4RS - \frac{b^2 - 4ac}{a} E^2 R \quad \text{and} \quad ERZ - RXY - \frac{EU - RT}{2}$$

are H_4-identities for $A_{a,b,c}$.

Proof. It suffices to check that these two elements are killed by μ_α, which is easily done using Lemma 5.1. □

Since E, R, S, T, U are sent under μ_α to $S(t_H) \otimes u_1$, their images in $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ belong to the center $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$. We assert that after inverting the elements E and R, all relations in $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$ are consequences of the leftmost relation in Proposition 5.2. More precisely, we have the following (see [2, Thm. 10.3]).

Theorem 5.3. *There is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha[E^{-1}, R^{-1}] \cong k[E, E^{-1}, R, R^{-1}, S, T, U]/(D_{a,b,c})\,,$$

where

$$D_{a,b,c} = T^2 - 4RS - \frac{b^2 - 4ac}{a} E^2 R\,.$$

To prove this theorem, we first check that the generic base algebra $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ (whose generators we know) is generated by $E, E^{-1}, R, R^{-1}, S, T, U$; this implies that $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ is the localization

$$\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha = \mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha[E^{-1}, R^{-1}]$$

of $\mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha$. In a second step, we establish that all relations between the above-listed generators of $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ follow from the sole relation $D_{a,b,c} = 0$.

Let us now turn to the universal comodule algebra $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$. By Proposition 5.2, we have the following relation in $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$, where we keep the same notation for the elements of $T(X_H)$ and their images in $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$:

$$(ER)Z = (R)XY + \left(\frac{EU - RT}{2}\right) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U}_H^\alpha .$$

The elements in parentheses being central, it follows from the previous relation that if we again invert the central elements E and R, then Z is a linear combination of 1 and XY with coefficients in $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha = \mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha[E^{-1}, R^{-1}]$. Noting that

$$YX = -XY + T \in -XY + \mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha \subset -XY + \mathcal{B}_H^\alpha ,$$

we easily deduce that after inverting E and R any element of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha$ is a linear combination of $1, X, Y, XY$ over $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$.

In [2] the following more precise result was established (see *loc. cit.*, Thm. 10.7). It answers positively the question of § 2.4.

Theorem 5.4. *The localized algebra $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha[E^{-1}, R^{-1}]$ is free of rank 4 over its center $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha = \mathcal{Z}_H^\alpha[E^{-1}, R^{-1}]$, and there is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha[E^{-1}, R^{-1}] \cong \mathcal{B}_H^\alpha \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \,/\, \left(\xi^2 - R,\, \xi\eta + \eta\xi - T,\, \eta^2 - S\right) .$$

Note that the algebra $\mathcal{B}_H^\alpha$ coincides with the subalgebra of coinvariants of $\mathcal{U}_H^\alpha[E^{-1}, R^{-1}]$.

5.3. **An open problem.** To complete this survey, we state a problem who will hopefully attract the attention of some researchers.

Fix an integer $n \geq 2$ and suppose that the ground field k contains a primitive n-th root q of 1. Consider the Taft algebra H_{n^2}, which is the algebra generated by two elements x, y subject to the relations

$$x^n = 1 , \quad yx = qxy , \quad y^n = 0 .$$

This is a Hopf algebra of dimension n^2 with coproduct determined by

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes x \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(y) = 1 \otimes y + y \otimes x .$$

The twisted comodule algebras ${}^\alpha H_{n^2}$ have been classified in [7, 13]. (All two-cocycles of H_{n^2} are non-degenerate.)

Give a presentation by generators and relations of the generic base algebra $\mathcal{B}_{H_{n^2}}^\alpha$ and show that $\mathcal{B}_{H_{n^2}}^\alpha$ is a localization of $\mathcal{Z}_{H_{n^2}}^\alpha$. (By [11, Rem. 2.4 (c)] it is enough to consider the case where α is the trivial cocycle.)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to extend my warmest thanks to the organizers of the Conference on Quantum Groups and Quantum Topology held at RIMS, Kyoto University, on April 19–20, 2010, and above all to Professor Akira Masuoka, for giving me the opportunity to explain my joint work [2] with Eli Aljadeff.

This work is part of the project ANR BLAN07-3_183390 "Groupes quantiques : techniques galoisiennes et d'intégration" funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche, France.

References

[1] Aljadeff E., Haile D., Natapov M., Graded identities of matrix algebras and the universal graded algebra, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), 3125–3147.

[2] Aljadeff E., Kassel C., Polynomial identities and noncommutative versal torsors, *Adv. Math.* **218** (2008), 1453–1495.

[3] Bahturin Y. A., Zaicev M., Identities of graded algebras, *J. Algebra* **202** (1998), 634–654.

[4] Bichon J., Galois and bigalois objects over monomial non-semisimple Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **5** (2006), 653–680.

[5] Blattner R. J., Montgomery S., A duality theorem for Hopf module algebras, *J. Algebra* **95** (1985), 153–172.

[6] Dehn M., Über die Grundlagen der projektiven Geometrie und allgemeine Zahlsysteme, *Math. Ann.* **85** (1922), 184–194.

[7] Doi Y., Takeuchi M., Quaternion algebras and Hopf crossed products, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), 3291–3325.

[8] Formanek E., *The polynomial identities and invariants of $n \times n$ matrices*, CBMS Conf. Series in Math., **78**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.

[9] Kassel C., Quantum principal bundles up to homotopy equivalence, *The Legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, The Abel Bicentennial,* Oslo, 2002, O. A. Laudal, R. Piene (eds.), Springer-Verlag 2004, 737–748 (see also arXiv:math.QA/0507221).

[10] Kassel C., Generic Hopf Galois extensions, arXiv:0809.0638, to appear in *Proc. Workshop on Quantum Groups and Noncommutative Geometry,* Max Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, 2007, M. Marcolli and D. Parashar (eds.).

[11] Kassel C., Masuoka A., Flatness and freeness properties of the generic Hopf Galois extensions, arXiv:0911.3719, to appear in the Proceedings of *Coloquio de Álgebras de Hopf, Grupos Cuánticos y Categorías Tensoriales*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina.

[12] Kassel C., Schneider H.-J., Homotopy theory of Hopf Galois extensions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **55** (2005), 2521–2550.

[13] Masuoka A., Cleft extensions for a Hopf algebra generated by a nearly primitive element, *Comm. Algebra* **22** (1994), 4537–4559.

[14] Masuoka A., Cocycle deformations and Galois objects for some cosemisimple Hopf algebras of finite dimension, *New trends in Hopf algebra theory* (La Falda, 1999), 195–214, Contemp. Math., **267**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[15] Masuoka A., Abelian and non-abelian second cohomologies of quantized enveloping algebras, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), 1–47.

[16] Montgomery S., *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Conf. Series in Math., **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[17] Rowen L., *Polynomial identities in ring theory,* Pure and Applied Mathematics, **84**, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1980.

[18] Schauenburg P., Hopf bi-Galois extensions, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 3797–3825.

[19] Schneider H.-J., Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras, *Israel J. Math.* **72** (1990), 167–195.

[20] Sweedler M. E., *Hopf algebras,* W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.

[21] Takeuchi M., Free Hopf algebras generated by coalgebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23** (1971), 561–582.

[22] Wagner W., Über die Grundlagen der projektiven Geometrie und allgemeine Zahlsysteme, *Math. Ann.* **113** (1937), 528–567.

Christian Kassel: Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, CNRS & Université de Strasbourg, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France

E-mail address: `kassel@math.unistra.fr`

URL: `www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/~kassel/`